UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,292	02/23/2006	Pratibhash Chattopadhyay	FER-14669.001.001	6373
	7590 03/03/201 L & CLARK LLP	0	EXAMINER	
23755 Lorain R	oad - Suite 200		HAGOPIAN, CASEY SHEA	
North Olmsted, OH 44070-2224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/541,292	CHATTOPADHYAY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Casey S. Hagopian	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	July 2005				
· <u> </u>	nis action is non-final.				
<i>,</i>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-16 and 19-20, drawn to particles and a method of making thereof.

Group II, claim(s) 17 and 18, drawn to an apparatus.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Group I claims particles and its method of making comprising providing a load stock comprising a polymer, a wax, and/or a lipid that is solid at standard temperature and pressure and optionally, a biologically active substance; contacting the load stock with a supercritical fluid to form a melt; contacting the melt with a polar solvent to form an emulsion; and expanding the emulsion across a pressure drop to form solid particles whereas Group II claims an apparatus comprising a vessel, a means to selectively control the flow of supercritical fluid from the supercritical fluid supply to the vessel, a

means to selectively control the flow of polar solvent from the polar solvent supply to the vessel, a means disposed within the vessel for forming an emulsion between the polar solvent and the melt, and expansion chamber and a nozzle. Group II can be distinguished from Group I because Group I does not include any of the aforementioned apparatus components in Group II.

Page 3

As such, Groups I and II do not share the same special technical feature.

Therefore, the claims are not so linked by a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 so as to form a single inventive concept.

In addition, if Group I is selected, applicant is further required to elect a species. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1. Discontinuous phase (choose one from a-b)
 - a. Melt
 - b. Polar solvent
- 2. Continuous phase (choose one from a-b)
 - a. Melt
 - b. Polar solvent

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply

must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Claims 1-8 and 19 correspond to an election of species (1)(a) and (2)(b) and claims 9-16 and 20 correspond to an election of species (1)(b) and (2)(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: no claim is generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Claim 1 includes an emulsion that has a discontinuous phase which is a melt and a continuous phase which is a polar solvent whereas claim 9 includes an emulsion that has a discontinuous phase which is a polar solvent and a continuous phase which is a melt. Thus, the phase elements are reversed in each of the claims, potentiating unique results and effects in terms of solubility, viscosity, etc., depending on the particular elements employed.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,292 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

As such, the species do not share the same special technical feature. Therefore, the claims are not so linked by a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.1 so as to form a single inventive concept.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Casey Hagopian whose telephone number is 571-272-6097. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert A. Wax, can be reached at 571-272-0623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Casey S Hagopian/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615

/Carlos A. Azpuru/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615